

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexascins, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/583,303	06/17/2006	Andreas Ritter	HM-717PCT	2509
40570 7590 08/25/2009 FRIEDRICH KUEFFNER			EXAMINER	
317 MADISON AVENUE, SUITE 910	0	JENNINGS, STEPHANIE M		
NEW YORK, NY 10017			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3725	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/25/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Application No. Applicant(s) 10/583,303 RITTER ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Stephanie Jennings -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 April 2009. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 9 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 18 June 2006 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some \* c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Imformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTC/S5/08)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date \_\_\_\_\_\_.

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date.

6) Other:

Notice of Informal Patent Application

Application/Control Number: 10/583,303 Page 2

Art Unit: 3725

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-6 and 9 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Applicant's arguments, see page 10, filed April 30, 2009, with respect to claims 7
and 8 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The objections of October 28,
2008 have been withdrawn.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
   The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- Regarding claim 2, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

### Terminal Disclaimer

 The terminal disclaimer filed on April 30, 2009 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of December 18, 2003 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted. Art Unit: 3725

organization.

An attorney or agent, not of record, is not authorized to sign a terminal disclaimer in the capacity as an attorney or agent acting in a representative capacity as provided

by 37 CFR 1.34 (a). See 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c).

8. It would be acceptable for a person, other than a recognized officer, to sign a terminal disclaimer, <u>provided</u> the record for the application includes a statement that the person is empowered to sign terminal disclaimers and/or act on behalf of the

Accordingly, a new terminal disclaimer which includes the above empowerment statement will be considered to be signed by an appropriate official of the assignee. A separately filed paper referencing the previously filed terminal disclaimer and containing a proper empowerment statement would also be acceptable.

## Specification

Amendments to the specification have been reviewed and are accepted as being in compliance.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- Claims 1,3-6 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bode et al. US Patent No. 5,964,116 in view of Verbickas US Patent No. 6.220.071 and in further view of Kaiiwara et al. US Patent No. 5,174,144. Bode

Page 4

Art Unit: 3725

discloses a method for operating the rolling stands of a cold rolling mill with a pair of work rolls (13a, 13b), a pair of intermediate rolls (12a, 12b), a pair of back-up rolls (11a, 11b) (column 3, lines 41-61), the use of CVC technology (column 1, lines 55-63) and a strip (24) (column 4, lines 31-44). Bode does not disclose axial shifting devices.

Verbickas teaches axial shifting and strip-edge oriented shifting in column 3, line 66-column 4, line 9. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide Bode's device with the shifting of Verbickas to relieve stress on the strip edges. Verbickas in view of Bode does not disclose the use of pair-cross technology. Kajiwara teaches a four-high rolling mill with the use of pair-cross technology in column 2, lines 38-49. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to provide Verbickas in view of Bode's device with the pair-cross technology of Kajiwara so that the profile of the amount of a vertical roll gap between the work rolls can be changed so as to control the plate crown.

## Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims and overcoming the 35 USC 112, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph rejection. The prior art of record does not disclose work rolls or intermediate rolls that are provided with a one sided setback.

Art Unit: 3725

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephanie Jennings whose telephone number is (571) 270-7392. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday, 7 am - 5:30 pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dana Ross can be reached on (571) 272-4480. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/S. J./ Examiner, Art Unit 3725 August 20, 2009 /Dana Ross/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3725